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Accountability Statement
Peace River School Division subscribes to a combined Annual Education Results Report (AERR) and Three Year Education Plan (3YEP).
This report is a summary of Lloyd Garrison School's achievements for the 2015-16 school year and a Three Year Education Plan for 2016-19. The school has used the results reported in the document, to the best of its abilities, to develop the Education Plan and is committed to implementing the strategies contained within it to improve students learning and results. We have experienced a surge in school population and will try to accommodate that with our planning.

```
Lloyd Garrison School is the best choice for education.
Mission Statement: Learning, Growing, Succeeding Together
Vision Statement: To provide opportunities for learning that foster growth and success for each individual learner.
At Lloyd Garrison School we value:
Belonging: Creating mutually beneficial relationships amongst students, staff, parents and community members unites us with common goals.
Excellence in learning: Setting high expectations within a positive environment will lead to high levels of achievement.
Accountability: Ownership and accountability for one's learning and behaviour results in academic success
Respect: Treating others with kindness and dignity and caring for the learning.
Safety: We believe that a safe and caring environment creates emotional and physical safety for all.
```


## Beliefs:

Learning: Setting high expectations within a positive environment will lead to high levels of achievement.
Safety: A safe and caring environment ensures emotional and physical safety for all.
Responsibility: Ownership and accountability for one's learning and behaviour results in continuous growth.
Respect: Treating others with kindness and dignity and caring for the learning environment fosters positive relations.
Success: Recognizing and celebrating success and achievement promotes self-confidence and self-efficacy.
Belonging: Creating mutually beneficial relationships amongst students, staff, parents and community members unites us with common goals.

## School Profile

Lloyd Garrison School is located in the northern community of Berwyn, Alberta. The population of Berwyn is approximately 200.
Enrollment (as of September 30, 2016)
Grade Level Student Population: 101
Kindergarten - 7
Grade One - 16
Grade Two - 19
Grade Three- 6
Grade Four - 10
Grade Five - 14
Grade Six - 7
Grade Seven - 9
Grade Eight - 7
Grade Nine - 6
LGS takes pride in its strong mission and vision statements and principle beliefs that guide instruction and school based decision making.
Lloyd Garrison School (LGS) offers progressive programming for kindergarten to grade six students. Extended fine arts, options and French as Second Language (FSL) opportunities are offered in addition to basic programming. This year with the addition of the Mennonite students we are also providing Religious Studies and German Language.
Parents, families and community members are encouraged to take part in school activities and experience the positive school culture.
Lloyd Garrison School supports and promotes community partnerships. Presently utilizing space within the school facility: Parent Link/Book Bugz for parents and tots under 5 and the Berwyn Playschool for 3-5 year olds. The school promotes health and wellness and opens its doors to community use of the gym, as well as use of the PRSD meeting room which offers students and community members' access to state-of-art VC opportunities.
Lloyd Garrison students are leaders. Students are undertaking activities that are both rewarding and challenging and our school places a high priority on nurturing character development.
Through various activities and programs our students are experiencing a character education, with a focus on values, leadership, respect and global awareness.
Every effort is made in programs throughout our school, from early learning opportunities to off campus schooling, creating a culture where all students have options, support and dedication to succeed. New initiatives for 2016:

1) Identity Day-encouraging students to present their passion/interests/hobbies to other students; completed at September Meet n Greet and may do follow-up during May Education Week.
2) Water Project - students will be doing various fundraising activities to continue support of the Water Project - providing fresh water to disadvantaged countries.

## Combined 2016 Accountability Pillar Overall Summary

| Measure Category | Measure Category Evaluation | Measure | Lloyd Garrison School |  |  | Alberta |  |  | Measure Evaluation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Current Result | Prev Year Result | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prev } 3 \\ \text { Year } \\ \text { Average } \end{gathered}$ | Current Result | Prev Year Result | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prev } 3 \\ \text { Year } \\ \text { Average } \end{gathered}$ | Achievement | Improvement | Overall |
| Safe and Caring Schools | Excellent | Safe and Caring | 90.2 | 84.0 | 77.0 | 89.5 | 89.2 | 89.1 | Very High | Improved | Excellent |
| Student Learning Opportunities | n/a | Program of Studies | 100.0 | n/a | 60.3 | 81.9 | 81.3 | 81.4 | Very High | Improved | Excellent |
|  |  | Education Quality | 98.5 | 90.0 | 77.5 | 90.1 | 89.5 | 89.5 | Very High | Improved Significantly | Excellent |
|  |  | Drop Out Rate | n/a | n/a | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.5 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | High School Completion Rate (3 yr) | n/a | n/a | n/a | 76.5 | 76.5 | 75.5 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Student Learning Achievement (Grades K-9) | n/a | PAT: Acceptable | * | * | 87.5 | 73.6 | 72.9 | 73.4 | * | * | * |
|  |  | PAT: Excellence | * | * | 37.5 | 19.4 | 18.8 | 18.6 | * | * | * |
| Student Learning Achievement (Grades 10-12) | n/a | Diploma: Acceptable | n/a | n/a | n/a | 85.0 | 85.2 | 85.1 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Diploma: Excellence | n/a | n/a | n/a | 21.0 | 21.0 | 20.5 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Diploma Exam Participation Rate (4+ Exams) | n/a | n/a | n/a | 54.6 | 54.4 | 53.5 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Rutherford Scholarship Eligibility Rate | n/a | n/a | n/a | 60.8 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Preparation for Lifelong Learning, World of Work, Citizenship | n/a | Transition Rate (6 yr) | n/a | n/a | n/a | 59.4 | 59.7 | 59.3 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Work Preparation | 50.0 | n/a | 12.5 | 82.6 | 82.0 | 81.1 | Very Low | Improved | Issue |
|  |  | Citizenship | 85.1 | 80.0 | 71.7 | 83.9 | 83.5 | 83.4 | Very High | Improved | Excellent |
| Parental Involvement | Excellent | Parental Involvement | 91.2 | n/a | 48.7 | 80.9 | 80.7 | 80.5 | Very High | Improved | Excellent |
| Continuous Improvement | Excellent | School Improvement | 88.9 | 100.0 | 71.9 | 81.2 | 79.6 | 80.0 | Very High | Improved | Excellent |

## Notes:

1. Results have been adjusted to reflect the change from previous data source systems to Provincial Approach to Student Information (PASI).
2. Due to the change from previous data source systems to Provincial Approach to Student Information (PASI), historical Rutherford Scholarship Eligibility Rate results are not available.
3. Aggregated PAT results are based upon a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence). The weights are the number of students enrolled in each course. Courses included: English Language Arts (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE), Français (Grades 6, 9), French Language Arts (Grades 6, 9), Mathematics (6, 9, 9 KAE), Science (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE), Social Studies (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE).
4. Aggregated Diploma results are a Examination for each course. Courses included: English Language Arts 30-1, English Language Arts 30-2, French Language Arts 30-1, Français 30-1, Chemistry 30, Physics 30 , Biology 30, Science 30, Social Studies 30-1, Social Studies 30-2.
5. Overall evaluations can only be calculated if both improvement and achievement evaluations are available
6. Results for the ACOL measures are available in the detailed report: see "ACOL Measures" in the Table of Contents.
7. Participation in Provincial Achievement Tests was impacted by the flooding in June 2013 (Grade 9 only) and by the fires in May to June 2016. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.
8. Participation in Diploma Examinations was impacted by the flooding in June 2013 and by the fires in May to June 2016. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.
9. Survey results for the province and school authorities were impacted by the changes in the number of students responding to the survey through the introduction of the OurSCHOOLTTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey in 2014.
10. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

## Measure Evaluation Reference

## Achievement Evaluation

Achievement evaluation is based upon a comparison of Current Year data to a set of standards which remain consistent over time. The Standards are calculated by taking the 3 year average of baseline data for each measure across all school jurisdictions and calculating the 5 th, 25 th, 75 th and 95 th percentiles. Once calculated, these standards remain in place from year to year to allow for consistent planning and evaluation.

The table below shows the range of values defining the 5 achievement evaluation levels for each measure

| Measure | Very Low | Low | Intermediate | High | Very High |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safe and Caring | $0.00-77.62$ | $77.62-81.05$ | $81.05-84.50$ | $84.50-88.03$ | $88.03-100.00$ |
| Program of Studies | $0.00-66.31$ | $66.31-72.65$ | $72.65-78.43$ | $78.43-81.59$ | $81.59-100.00$ |
| Education Quality | $0.00-80.94$ | $80.94-84.23$ | $84.23-87.23$ | $87.23-89.60$ | $89.60-100.00$ |
| Drop Out Rate | $100.00-9.40$ | $9.40-6.90$ | $6.90-4.27$ | $4.27-2.79$ | $2.79-0.00$ |
| High School Completion Rate (3 yr) | $0.00-57.03$ | $57.03-62.36$ | $62.36-73.88$ | $73.88-81.79$ | $81.79-100.00$ |
| PAT: Acceptable | $0.00-66.07$ | $66.07-70.32$ | $70.32-79.81$ | $79.81-84.64$ | $84.64-100.00$ |
| PAT: Excellence | $0.00-9.97$ | $9.97-13.44$ | $13.44-19.56$ | $19.56-25.83$ | $25.83-100.00$ |
| Diploma: Acceptable | $0.00-73.77$ | $73.77-80.97$ | $80.97-86.66$ | $86.66-90.29$ | $90.29-100.00$ |
| Diploma: Excellence | $0.00-7.14$ | $7.14-13.15$ | $13.15-19.74$ | $19.74-24.05$ | $24.05-100.00$ |
| Diploma Exam Participation Rate (4+ Exams) | $0.00-31.10$ | $31.10-44.11$ | $44.11-55.78$ | $55.78-65.99$ | $65.99-100.00$ |
| Transition Rate (6 yr) | $0.00-39.80$ | $39.80-46.94$ | $46.94-56.15$ | $56.15-68.34$ | $68.34-100.00$ |
| Work Preparation | $0.00-66.92$ | $66.92-72.78$ | $72.78-77.78$ | $77.78-86.13$ | $86.13-100.00$ |
| Citizenship | $0.00-66.30$ | $66.30-71.63$ | $71.63-77.50$ | $77.50-81.08$ | $81.08-100.00$ |
| Parental Involvement | $0.00-70.76$ | $70.76-74.58$ | $74.58-78.50$ | $78.50-82.30$ | $82.30-100.00$ |
| School Improvement | $0.00-65.25$ | $65.25-70.85$ | $70.85-76.28$ | $76.28-80.41$ | $80.41-100.00$ |

Notes:

1) For all measures except Drop Out Rate: The range of values at each evaluation level is interpreted as greater than or equal to the lower value, and less than the higher value. For the Very High evaluation level, values range from greater than or equal to the lower value to 100\%.
2) Drop Out Rate measure: As "Drop Out Rate" is inverse to most measures (i.e. lower values are "better"), the range of values at each evaluation level is interpreted as greater than the lower value and less than or equal to the higher value. For the Very High evaluation level, values range from $0 \%$ to less than or equal to the higher value.

## Improvement Table

For each jurisdiction, improvement evaluation consists of comparing the Current Year result for each measure with the previous three-year average. A chi-square statistical test is used to determine the significance of the improvement. This test takes into account the size of the jurisdiction in the calculation to make improvement evaluation fair across jurisdictions of different sizes.
The table below shows the definition of the 5 improvement evaluation levels based upon the chi-square result.

| Evaluation Category | Chi-Square Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| Declined Significantly | $3.84+$ (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Declined | $1.00-3.83$ (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Maintained | less than 1.00 |
| Improved | $1.00-3.83$ (current > previous 3-year average) |
| Improved Significantly | $3.84+$ (current > previous 3-year average) |

Overall Evaluation Table
The overall evaluation combines the Achievement Evaluation and the Improvement Evaluation. The table below illustrates how the Achievement and Improvement evaluations are combined to get the overall evaluation.

|  | Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Improvement | Very High | High | Intermediate | Low | Very Low |
| Improved Significantly | Excellent | Good | Good | Good | Acceptable |
| Improved | Excellent | Good | Good | Acceptable | Issue |
| Maintained | Excellent | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Concern |
| Declined | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern |
| Declined Significantly | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern | Concern |

## Category Evaluation

The category evaluation is an average of the Overall Evaluation of the measures that make up the category. For the purpose of the calculation, consider an Overall Evaluation of Excellent to be 2, Good to be 1, Acceptable to be 0, Issue to be -1, and Concern to be -2. The simple average (mean) of these values rounded to the nearest integer produces the Category Evaluation value. This is converted back to a colour using the same scale above (e.g. $2=$ Excellent, $1=$ Good, $0=$ Intermediate, $-1=$ Issue, $-2=$ Concern)

## Outcome One: Alberta's students are successful

| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Target } \\ \hline 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Evaluation |  |  | Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |  | Achievement | Improvement | Overall | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Overall percentage of students in Grades 6 and 9 who achieved the acceptable standard on Provincial Achievement Tests (overall cohort results). | 61.7 | 87.5 | * | * | * | 75 | * | * | * | 76 | 77 | 78 |
| Overall percentage of students in Grades 6 and 9 who achieved the standard of excellence on Provincial Achievement Tests (overall cohort results). | 6.4 | 37.5 | * | * | * | 15.5 | * | * | * | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.5 |

## Comment on Results

- Target for 2016/17 is reflective of the average over the past 5 years, due to lower than 6 respondents per category.
- Numbers are equated to high fluctuations and are typical representative of a small school population.


## Strategies

- Continue 6 week evaluation check-in through utilizing grade 1-6 literacy and numeracy assessment tools to provide benchmarks for student programming. Focusing on Divisional goals of Response to Intervention and Progress Monitoring: students are being grouped into Flexible Reading Groups with the intent to target reading levels and improve skills to be better prepared to read the test questions
- Analyze PAT/SLA results and along with data from the divisional grade 1-6 assessment tool to inform instruction in literacy and numeracy:
- Teachers will know the 5 essential elements of reading
- Teachers will engage students in critical thinking and problem solving
- Facilitate grades 3 and 6 local marking sessions of written portion of SLA and ELA PAT to inform future teaching practice. Incorporate Learning Coach to assist teachers in continuing with learner profiles to identify student needs as part of a continuum of supports through the Student Services Department.
Continued development of a culture of learning within the school through collaborative learning opportunities and within the school community and through engaging family literacy and math workshops.



## Outcome One: Alberta's students are successful (continued)

| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Target } \\ \hline 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Evaluation |  |  | Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |  | Achievement | Improvement | Overall | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Percentage of teachers, parents and students who are satisfied that students model the characteristics of active citizenship. | 77.5 | 63.4 | n/a | 80.0 | 85.1 | 87 | Very High | Improved | Excellent | 88 | 89 | 90 |
| Percentage of teachers and parents who agree that students are taught attitudes and behaviors that will make them successful at work when they finish school. | 50.0 | 12.5 | n/a | n/a | 50.0 | 60 | Very Low | Improved | Issue | 62 | 64 | 66 |

## Comment on Results

Numbers reflect emphasis on having students involved in community, working with Seniors and promoting leadership within school activities.
Grade 3 students are currently included in 3 Year Student Learner Assessment that will provide achievement results in 2017.

## Strategies

Teacher stress use of vocabulary that highlights impact on workplace prep and citizenship/leadership.
Teaching staff model lifelong learning skills through PD.
Students encouraged to identify career possibilities and to complete school.
Within mixed grade setting, students are learning how to engage and work alongside multi-age peers, building long-term relationships.
Students involved in various citizenship activities: working with Autumn Lodge and other local businesses, leadership skills developed through Healthy Active Schools and multi-age activities, identifying career interests with options (cooking, sewing, technology, music, art and drama).


## Outcome Two: The achievement gap between First Nations, Métis, and Inuit students and all other students is eliminated

(Results and evaluations for FNMI measures are required for Public/Separate/Francophone schools only)

| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | Target | Evaluation |  |  | Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2016 | Achievement | Improvement | Overall | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Overall percentage of self-identified FNMI students in Grades 6 and 9 who achieved the acceptable standard on Provincial Achievement Tests (overall cohort results). | * | * | * | * | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Overall percentage of self-identified FNMI students in Grades 6 and 9 who achieved the standard of excellence on Provincial Achievement Tests (overall cohort results). | * | * | * | * | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Overall percentage of self-identified FNMI students who achieved the acceptable standard on diploma examinations (overall results). | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Overall percentage of self-identified FNMI students who achieved the standard of excellence on diploma examinations (overall results). | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

## Comment on Results

The school considers the success of FNMI students to be of great importance and we will make every effort to promote success for all students at Lloyd Garrison School, within achieving and promoting lifelong learning through attaining optimal academic and social skills.

## Continued Strategies:

- Differentiating Instruction - Students are taught at their level, regardless of grade placement
- Response to Intervention (RtI) - frequent assessments and individualizing instruction based on the assessment results
- Work with Alberta Health Services to connect with community
- Implement culturally relevant literature and activities (Orange Shirt Day, Handgames, FNMI Day)
- Tie-in with Western Cree, Duncan First Nations Elders, YES Workers and FNMI Steering Committee

Outcome Three:


Alberta's education system is inclusive

| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Target } \\ \hline 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Evaluation |  |  | Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |  | Achievement | Improvement | Overall | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |


| Percentage of teacher, parent and <br> student agreement that: students <br> are safe at school, are learning the <br> importance of caring for others, <br> are learning respect for others and <br> are treated fairly in school. | 86.1 | 70.0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 84.0 | 90.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Comment on Targets

Targets for Safe and Caring Schools will be reset based on previous 5 -year average, due to lower than 6 respondents per category, however, efforts will be made to ensure high level of success.

## Strategies showing positive effect and to be continued include:

1) Incorporating "Fit Break" within scheduled class time
2) Mindful Breathing/MindUP - developing positive attitudes and increased student ability to focus and de-stress
3) Maintain safe and caring through utilizing Youth Education Support Workers and Positive Behavior Supports
4) Monthly assemblies designed to promote a positive school culture - emphasis on Bear Dens and school spirit.
5) Communication of key messages on Safe and Caring in the newsletter.

Increased emphasis on following strategies:

1) Student leadership and mentoring with older/younger students.
2) Healthy Active Schools - develop leadership and wellness, designated students to lead


Outcome Four: Alberta has excellent teachers, school and school authority leaders

| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Target } \\ \hline 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Evaluation |  |  | Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |  | Achievement | Improvement | Overall | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Percentage of teachers, parents and students satisfied with the opportunity for students to receive a broad program of studies including fine arts, career, technology, and health and physical education. | 67.4 | 60.3 | n/a | n/a | 100.0 | 80 | Very High | Improved | Excellent | 81 | 82 | 83 |

## Comment on Results

2016 Target has been developed based on 5 year average, due to lower than 6 respondents per category in previous years, however, efforts will be made to ensure high level of success.

## Strategies

A continued effort to expand students' option opportunities will be explored.
Continuing to access more community members and their expertise will enhance the broad program of studies.
Increase parent communication through weekly e-mail, Facebook/Twitter, phone calls, newsletters, workshops and invitations for parents to be included in assemblies and classroom activities.
Through increased technology activities (VC, Student Blogs, computer coding), art endeavors (tapping into local artists), utilizing local sports facilities, and continued focus on work preparation options (cooking, sewing, robotics, drama) students will be exposed to a broad program of studies.


## Outcome Five: The education system is well governed and managed

| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Target } \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Evaluation |  |  | Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |  | Achievement | Improvement | Overall | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Percentage of teachers, parents and students indicating that their school and schools in their jurisdiction have improved or stayed the same the last three years. | 76.7 | 43.8 | n/a | 100.0 | 88.9 | 77.5 | Very High | Improved | Excellent | 79 | 81 | 83 |
| Percentage of teachers and parents satisfied with parental involvement in decisions about their child's education. | 66.7 | 48.7 | n/a | n/a | 91.2 | 68.8 | Very High | Improved | Excellent | 70 | 72 | 74 |
| Percentage of teachers, parents and students satisfied with the overall quality of basic education. | 79.1 | 65.0 | n/a | 90.0 | 98.5 | 83 | Very High | Improved Significantly | Excellent | 85 | 87 | 89 |

## Comment on Results

2016 Target has been developed based on 5 year average, due to lower than 6 respondents per category in previous years, however, efforts will be made to ensure high level of success.

## Strategies

- Open door policy welcoming parents into school.
- School council meetings include free babysitting in order to allow more opportunities for parents to become involved in children's education.
- Communication of academic achievement within the school in the newsletter on a regular basis and inviting parents to attend assemblies.
- Increased parent and community interaction through weekly e-mail updates, phone calls and increased communication through website/Facebook/newsletter.
- Attaching school newsletter to Berwyn Community newsletter expands this opportunity even more.
- Increased community connections through Parent Link (mom \& tot's library time) and Playschool offered within school space.
- Continue utilizing learning coach as capacity builder for supporting inclusive education (coaching, PLCs, PD).



## Budget Report

Peace River School Division No. 10
2016-17 November 30 Fall Update

## SCHOOL: Lloyd Garrison School

Revenue And Allocations To Budget Center

| AB ED: Base Funding | 2016-17 | November 30 Fall Update |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | 2016-17 May Preliminary Budget $\mid$ (


| AB ED: Differential Cost Funding | 2016-17 November 30 Fall Update | 2016-17 May Preliminary Budget |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total AB ED: Differential Cost Funding | $\mathbf{\$ 1 7 9 , 3 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 3 , 9 7 6}$ |
| \% of Revenue And Allocations To Budget Center | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ |


| AB ED: Other Support Funding | 2016-17 November 30 Fall Update | 2016-17 May Preliminary Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total AB ED: Other Support Funding \% of Revenue And Allocations To Budget Center | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 57,162 \\ 7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,504 \\ 5 \% \end{array}$ |
| Federal Government | 2016-17 November 30 Fall Update | 2016-17 May Preliminary Bu |
| Total Federal Government \% of Revenue And Allocations To Budget Center | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 52 \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 75$ $0 \%$ |


| Instruction Resource Fees | 2016-17 November 30 Fall Update | 2016-17 May Preliminary Budget |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Textbook Rentals | $\$ 200$ | $\$ 200$ |
| Total Instruction Resource Fees | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 0}$ |
| $\%$ of Revenue And Allocations To Budget Center | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |


| Investment Income | 2016-17 November 30 Fall Update | 2016-17 May Preliminary Budget |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Investment Income | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 4 0}$ |
| $\%$ of Revenue And Allocations To Budget Center | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| Total Revenue And Allocations To Budget Center | $\mathbf{\$ 8 0 1 , 7 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 9 1 , 8 2 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Expenditures

| Certificated | $2016-17$ | November 30 Fall Update |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2016-17 May Preliminary Budget |  |  |
| Total Certificated | $\mathbf{\$ 6 0 2 , 6 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 0 , 8 9 8}$ |
| $\%$ of Expenditures | $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ |


| Uncertificated | 2016-17 | November $\mathbf{3 0}$ Fall Update |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2016-17 May Preliminary Budget |  |  |
| Total Uncertificated | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 8 , 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 7 0 , 4 7 8}$ |
| $\%$ of Expenditures | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ |


| Other Staffing Costs | 2016-17 November 30 Fall Update | 2016-17 May Preliminary Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Based Certificated Sub Cost | \$5,550 | \$1,776 |
| Certified: Substitute Teacher: Daily Rate | \$222.00 | \$222.00 |
| Days of School Certified Subs | 25.00 Days | 8.00 Days |

SCHOOL: Lloyd Garrison School - Budget Report
2016-17 November 30 Fall Update

| Other Staffing Costs | 2016-17 November 30 Fall Update | 2016-17 May Preliminary Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Based Certificated Sub Benefits | \$555 | \$178 |
| School Based Certificated Sub cost | \$5,550 | \$1,776 |
| Sub Teacher Benefit Rates | 0.1000 Factor | 0.1000 Factor |
| Uncertified Subs and Additional Hours | \$1,000 | \$500 |
| Total Other Staffing Costs \% of Expenditures | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,105 \\ 1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,454 \\ 1 \% \end{array}$ |


| Contracted Services | 2016-17 November 30 Fall Update | 2016-17 May Preliminary Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Certificated Inservice/Reg Fees | \$3,000 | \$3,200 |
| Uncertificated Inservice/Reg Fees | \$1,200 | \$700 |
| Professional Fees | \$35 | \$35 |
| Postage \& Phone | \$500 | \$0 |
| Advertising | \$100 | \$0 |
| Expense Reimbursement | \$3,000 | \$700 |
| Field Trips | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |
| Contracted Equipment \& Vehicle Maintenance | \$1,000 | \$3,600 |
| Association Fees | \$35 | \$35 |
| Total Contracted Services | \$9,870 | \$9,270 |
| \% of Expenditures | 1\% | 3\% |


| Supplies | 2016-17 November 30 Fall Update | 2016-17 May Preliminary Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supplies | \$16,000 | \$1,500 |
| Library Supplies (Minimum Standard) | \$1,313 | \$312 |
| ECS Enrolment | 7 Students | 3 Students |
| Library Enhancement Rate | \$13.00 | \$13.00 |
| Total Head Count w/o ECS | 94.00 Students | 21.00 Students |
| Library Supplies | \$2,000 | \$900 |
| Furniture \& Equipment | \$6,000 | \$0 |
| Total Supplies \% of Expenditures | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 25,313 \\ 3 \% \end{array}$ | \$2,712 1\% |
| Total Expenditures | \$832,998 | \$285,811 |


| Summary |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2016-17 |  |
| November 30 Fall Update | 2016-17 May Preliminary Budget |  |
| Total Revenues and Allocations To Budget | $\$ 801,797$ | $\$ 291,822$ |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 832,998$ | $\$ 285,811$ |
| Variance | $\mathbf{( \$ 3 1 , 2 0 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 0 1 1}$ |

## Notes

